A platform for dynamic simulation and control of movement based on OpenSim and MATLAB
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ABSTRACT

Numerical simulations play an important role in solving complex engineering problems and have the potential to revolutionize medical decision making and treatment strategies. In this paper, we combine the rapid model-based design and powerful numerical method strengths of MATLAB/Simulink with the simulation and human movement dynamics strengths of OpenSim by developing a new interface between the two software tools. OpenSim is integrated with Simulink using the MATLAB S-function mechanism, and the interface is demonstrated using both open-loop and closed-loop control systems. While the open-loop system uses MATLAB/Simulink to separately reproduce the OpenSim Forward Dynamics Tool, the closed-loop system adds the unique feature of feedback control to OpenSim, which is necessary for most human movement simulations. An arm model example was successfully used in both open-loop and closed-loop cases. For the open-loop case, the simulation reproduced results from the OpenSim Forward Dynamics Tool with root mean square (RMS) differences of 0.03° for the shoulder elevation angle and 0.06° for the elbow flexion angle. MATLAB’s variable step-size integrator reduced the time required to generate the forward dynamic simulation from 7.1 s (OpenSim) to 2.9 s (MATLAB). For the closed-loop case, a proportional–integral–derivative controller was used to successfully balance a pole on model’s hand despite random force disturbances on the pole. The new interface presented here not only integrates the OpenSim and MATLAB/Simulink software tools, but also will allow neuroscientists, physiologists, biomechanists, and physical therapists to adapt and generate new solutions as treatments for musculoskeletal conditions.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Musculoskeletal conditions cost the US economy over $849 billion annually and place great demands on health care systems worldwide (Jacobs et al., 2008). Study and treatment of these conditions could greatly benefit from combined software tools that offer better insights into neuromuscular biomechanics, and predictive capabilities for optimal surgical and rehabilitation treatment planning.

Two general categories of software packages (engineering and musculoskeletal) have been used for modeling and simulation of biomechanical systems. These systems have been studied using engineering software packages such as ANSYS (Otoole et al., 1995), ADAMS (Lemay and Crago, 1996), SD-FAST (Andrews et al., 1998) and MATLAB (Barker et al., 1997). However, it is difficult to use built-in mechanical and electrical elements in these packages to model biomechanical systems. To address this issue, commercial musculoskeletal software packages such as SIMM (MusculoGraphics, Inc.), Visual 3-D (C-Motion, Inc.), and AnyBody (AnyBody Technology) were developed to study different biomechanical systems such as lower limbs (Delp et al., 1990; Kepple et al., 1997), upper limbs (Holzbaur et al., 2005; Damsgaard et al., 2006), and the cervical and lumbar spine (Vasavada et al., 1998; de Zee et al., 2007). Unfortunately, these packages do not share model standards or support data exchange as the engineering packages do. Moreover, neither engineering nor musculoskeletal software packages provide access to, or customization of source code, which makes it difficult for researchers to extend software capabilities.

Currently, there is no freely available, open-source computational tool providing an interface between software packages for modeling and simulation of biomechanical systems with robust design and control. We aimed to develop such an interface based on the popular musculoskeletal and engineering software packages of OpenSim (Delp et al., 2007) and MATLAB/Simulink. OpenSim, a freely available modeling and simulation platform, was developed as an extension to the commercial musculoskeletal software package SIMM (Delp et al., 1990; Delp and Loan, 1995). OpenSim has been successfully used to model musculoskeletal movements such as walking (Thelen and Anderson, 2006; Fox and Delp, 2010), running (Hammer et al.,...
2. Methods

We start by defining the basic elements of a forward dynamics simulation of a musculoskeletal model and describe how it works in OpenSim. We then explain the basic concepts underlying the new S-function (system function) interface between OpenSim and MATLAB/Simulink. Finally, we demonstrate its application in both open-loop and closed-loop control systems using a model of a human arm.

2.1. Forward dynamics simulation of a musculoskeletal model

A forward dynamics simulation is the integration of the differential equations that define the dynamics of a musculoskeletal model. In forward dynamics, a mathematical model of the system describes how model states (positions, velocities, muscle activations, and fiber lengths) change due to model inputs (muscle excitations, torque actuators, and external forces) according to Newton’s second law (Thelen et al., 2003).

The OpenSim Forward Dynamics Tool (Fig. 1) uses a neural command from an input controls file (e.g., controls.xml) to generate an output states file (e.g., states.sto). Using musculotendon dynamics, the forces actuating the model are computed; next, using musculoskeletal geometry, the joint moments are computed; then, using multibody dynamics, accelerations and other state derivatives are computed. Finally, state derivatives are numerically integrated to determine the model’s new states, including the observed motion.

2.2. Interface between OpenSim and MATLAB/Simulink

We developed a new interface to combine the relevant strengths of OpenSim and MATLAB/Simulink to enable a user to perform musculoskeletal simulations directly in a model-based design and control system environment. The interface uses the well-documented S-function API (application programming interface) to interact with the Simulink engine (Fig. 2). An S-function is a computer language description of a Simulink block written in MATLAB, FORTRAN, C, or C++ language (we chose C++ to have easy access to all OpenSim C++ methods and dynamically linked libraries) and compiled as a MEX-file, a dynamically linked subroutine automatically loaded and executed by MATLAB/Simulink. This interaction is very similar to the interaction that takes place between the engine and built-in Simulink blocks.

The S-function interface uses OpenSim’s underlying Simbody dynamics engine and MATLAB integrators to generate the forward dynamics simulation of an OpenSim model (Fig. 1, red dotted rectangle). The user can easily change Simulink configuration parameters (e.g., integration solver, error tolerance) to suit the requirements of the problem at hand.

2.3. Open-loop model application

We created a generic open-loop Simulink model (Fig. 3a) that loads and executes the OpenSim-based S-function described above. The interface works with any OpenSim model by defining block parameters for a particular simulation (Fig. 3b).

To demonstrate the open-loop characteristics and compare how well the S-function in MATLAB/Simulink agrees with the Forward Dynamics Tool in OpenSim, we used a simple human arm model with 2 degrees of freedom (shoulder elevation and elbow flexion) and 6 muscle-tendon actuators (triceps brachii long head, triceps brachii lateral head, triceps brachii medial head, biceps brachii long head, biceps brachii short head, and brachialis) to simulate an elbow flexion movement over 1 s. The motions resulting from the OpenSim and Simulink simulations of elbow flexion were directly compared.

2.4. Closed-loop model application

We extended the open-loop model by adding a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller with feedback (Fig. 4). The open-loop model is limited to using predefined input controls that cannot be changed; however, many human movement applications require closed-loop control systems that update input controls to generate a desired output.

To demonstrate the closed-loop characteristics, we used a human arm model balancing a pole. The pole was modeled in OpenSim as a cylinder with mass of 10 kg, height of 30 cm, and base radius of 2.5 cm. This biomechanical system had 3 degrees of freedom (shoulder elevation, elbow flexion and pole rotation), 6 muscle-tendon actuators, and a constraint on the hand to move along the horizontal direction. We distributed the PID controller output based on a muscles gain matrix signed to implement the pole angle correction by the muscles and normalized by muscle maximum isometric force, effectively, minimizing muscle activations required for the correction. The PID controller gains were tuned using the Simulink Control Design Toolbox. The initial controls, computed from static optimization, were used to maintain the arm position before a control correction was necessary. Random force disturbances were added to the pole to cause an imbalance and the pole angle error was observed.
Fig. 2. Overview of the new S-function interface: (a) The interface links the rapid model-based design and control systems strengths of MATLAB/Simulink with the numerical simulation and human movement dynamics strengths of OpenSim. (b) Flowchart illustrating stages for each Simulink simulation. (c) OpenSim v1.9 (higher versions not shown) methods called during each simulation stage.

Fig. 3. Overview of an open-loop model application for arm flexion. (a) The Simulink graphical editor window shows input controls (U), the new S-function interface (middle), and the output states (Y). (b) The custom Simulink block parameter dialog box shows every input parameter required (or optional) by the OpenSim Forward Dynamics Tool to perform a simulation. The parameter names are identical to those implemented in OpenSim to enable a straightforward transition to the new interface. (c) The output states may be displayed using a State Selector and Scope within the graphical editor or they may be loaded as a motion file in OpenSim to visualize the simulated movement.
3. Results

The new interface between OpenSim and MATLAB/Simulink allows rapid model-based design and numerical simulation of human movement using both open-loop (Fig. 3) and closed-loop (Figs. 4 and 5) control systems.

For the open-loop case, the Simulink generated shoulder angle matched the OpenSim generated angle within a 0.03° root mean square (RMS) difference. The RMS difference for the elbow flexion angle was 0.06°. Using the OpenSim integrator error tolerance of 1e-3, the computation time was 7.1 s (computational speed was assessed on a 3.2 GHz Intel® Xeon® workstation with 3.00 GB of RAM). However, it took 2.9 s to generate the same forward dynamics simulation of the arm model using the new interface.

For the closed-loop case, the PID controller successfully rejected random force disturbances ranging between ± 30 N (Fig. 5b) and balanced the pole with a maximum pole angle error from vertical of 1.19° (Fig. 5c). Using the MATLAB integrator with the same error tolerance as the open-loop case, the computation time for the closed-loop system was 5.9 s.

4. Discussion

The new S-function interface combines the numerical simulation and human movement dynamics strengths of OpenSim with the robust design, powerful math, and control system strengths of MATLAB. This integrated platform has promise for better understanding movement control and the potential to improve treatment planning.

The S-function interface and control systems software developed in this study had some limitations. First, the compatible versions of OpenSim (v2.3.1), MATLAB (v7.13.0), and Simulink (v7.7) were based on those available at the time of the S-function interface development. Thus, generating the newer versions of the interface may be needed as future versions of these software packages may improve computational efficiency and add new features. However, the current version of the interface, which is compatible with all versions of OpenSim (v1.9–v2.3.1), seems to be sufficient as it contains all of the previous OpenSim features and the new contact modeling capability. Second, the PID control was a simple, classical approach among the many available closed-loop control systems. However, by applying the muscle gain in our closed-loop controller...
which was designed based on the muscle maximum isometric force, we made the control signal or muscle excitation to act analogous (but not identical) to the human neural command minimizes muscular effort. Whereas the controller development is not a focus of this study, the new interface allows custom, complex controllers to be developed, tested, and refined.

Despite these limitations, the interface allows users to access any OpenSim model within MATLAB/Simulink and perform forward dynamics simulations. OpenSim-required input data files such as controls, initial states, and external forces can be provided by a Simulink signal, a MATLAB workspace variable, or a data file. This flexibility adds the unique ability of real-time changes to input controls (feedback control) which is necessary to study musculoskeletal conditions. For example, gait abnormalities commonly observed in children with cerebral palsy are typically treated by surgically altering muscle functions. Unfortunately, this treatment strategy does not consistently result in improved outcomes. Patient-specific simulations using feedback control have utility to determine the potential efficacy of surgical correction.

Although our interface shares some similarities with other interfaces, this work is fundamentally different from previous work focused on functional electrical stimulation (Davoodi and Loeb, 2002) and finite element analysis (Rasmussen and Ozen, 2007). Others linked their simulation software (MSMS) with MATLAB models of musculoskeletal systems and sensorimotor controllers in Simulink (Davoodi et al., 2007; Hauchchild et al., 2007); however, their package uses the SimMechanics toolbox for simulating musculoskeletal dynamics. In our case, we built on the freely available Simbody dynamics engine and OpenSim software. The users also should not confuse the developed interface with OpenSim’s built-in capability of running the OpenSim Forward Dynamics Tool using the MATLAB command line feature, which only runs OpenSim tool from the system command line using the OpenSim integrator. On the contrary, our tool uses Simbody dynamics engine and MATLAB integrators to create a new platform for forward dynamics as a Simulink block and to add the potential of extending the tool and applying it in closed-loop control systems.

The potential to use OpenSim and MATLAB/Simulink to study and improve treatments for musculoskeletal conditions is exciting. This project not only integrates software tools, but also allows integration of neuroscientists, biomechanists, and physical therapists to adapt and generate new solutions as treatments for musculoskeletal conditions. All of the source code, Simulink model examples, and user documentation related to this work will be available on a SimTK.org project dedicated to the interface (https://simtk.org/home/opensim_matlab).
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